GPB—15,000—20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1904-05
191.
(Madura)

File No. 249

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Re appointment of an Archak to the Sri Kodandaramaswami temple at Hire magalur

PREVIOUS REFERENCES

LATER REFERENCES.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT.

Appointment of an Archak to the Sri Kodanda Ramaswamy temple at Hiremagalur Chikmagalur Taluk

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Kadur Dt

Letter No. 497 Dated 15th March 1905

It is reported that Venkata Krishna Bhatta, Archak of the Sri Kodanda ramaswamy temple at Hiremagalur resigned his appointment owing to old age and his only son Srivanga bhatta does not want the post as he holds the post of Archak of the Sri Ranganathaswamy temple at Sahrepatna. The Deputy Comm^r reports that on enquiry made at Srirangapatam, Belur & other places he finds no one to secure

MGCPB—500—21-1-05

to the temple it is learnt that ~~or~~ no one is willing to accept the post as the pay attached to it is too low to induce any one to take it up and that one Sampath Kumara Bhatta of Belur is willing to perform the Archaki duties on a pay of Rs 12/- per mensem. ∇

The Pay of the Archak sanctioned as per Jasdik Patti revised in 1897 is Rs 9-8-0.

As the temple is an important one & an Archak who knowing Pancharatragama cannot be had for a pay less than Rs 12/- per mensem the Dy: Commr: recommends that the appointment of Sampath Kumara Bhatta as Archik on Rs 12/-, the difference viz Rs 2-8-0 being proposed to be met from the general savings of the temple Bud- Sampathkumara Bhatta is one of the Archaks employed in the Channakesavaswami temple Belur and in his statement- the Amildar of the Belur Taluk

Subsidy he says that, if the Bungalow be made in his name and if he is given a house to live in and also a pay of Rs 12 per mensem, either he in person or by a proxy will do the puja work -

The case of the present Karnavandar is, it appears from the Amildar's report, objecting to the Karnavandi being passed on to a third party but the Amildar reports that he is employed at Subramanya and that he failed to send a substitute to do duty in the Hariharesvara temple - - - -

Now the question is whether the descendants of the present Karnavandar can be deprived of the puja

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

this being a hereditary appointment.
The best course seems to be to
give a written notice to the Baramanadavi's
son who is employed at Sakrepatna
intimating to him that, if he
fails to attend to the puja work
in person in the Hariharapura temple
making proper arrangements for
his duties at Sakrepatna,
within a specified time the
of his family
would forfeit the hak and
that the appointment would
be given to an unengaged man —
A similar notice should also be given to the old man who is the present Baravaddar
— Submitted

Please reply to the
above effect.
Also draw attention to
Ramgat Kumara Bhatta's
deposition before the Amildar
of Belur to the effect that
he not only wants higher
pay but also a house
to live in. 24/3/05

24/3/05

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04 27/3/05 25/3/05

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04.

GA

1905.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To The Secretary to the Govt
of H H the Maharaja of
Mysore, Revenue Department

Dated 15th march 1905.

Despatched 22nd

Received

General No
No 497

Enclosure No. 1 & 7 file

Stating that Venkatalakshma
Bhatt Archak of the Sri
Kodanda Ramaswamy temple
at Heremagalur has resigned
and proposing the appointment
of one Belur Sampathkumara,
Bhatt as archak on a pay
of Rs 12/ per mensem

ES
23/3/05

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
3516

Deputy Commissioner's office
Kadur District
Dated Chikmagalur 16th march 1905

From N. Venkata Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

To. L Anantasami Rao Esquire B.A
Secretary to the Govt of H H
the Maharaja of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith the Kannada papers ending with the Chikmagalur Amildars report no 2184 dated 24th December 1904, I have the honor to state that Venkatakrishna Bhatta Archak of the Sri Kodanda Ramaswamy temple at Heremagalur has resigned his appointment owing to old age and his son, Srirangabhatta does not want the post as he holds the post of Archak of the Sri Ranganathaswamy temple at Sakrepatna.

2 On enquiry made at Srirangapatam, Belur and other places as to whether a successor could be found for the Archak ships in question, is learnt that no one is willing to accept the the pay attached to it is too low to induce take it up and that one Sampath Kumara is willing to perform the Archak's duties

duties on a pay of Rs 12/ per mensem

3. The pay of the Archak sanctioned as per Jasdik Patti prepared in 1897 is Rs 9 8 0 as per details in the margin, and the pay now demanded is Rs 12 0 0. As the temple in question is an important one in the taluk and an Archak who knows Pancharatragama cannot be had for a pay less than Rs 12/- per mensem, I recommend the appointment of Sundara Kumara Bhatta as Archak on Rs 12/- a month and ask that Government sanction may be accorded for the entertainment of the said Sampath-Kumara Bhatta as Archak on a salary of Rs 12/- per mensem, the excess of Rupees 2½ being met from the general savings of the temple.

Proposed Scale.

Rs	6-8-0	From the income of the Inam lands	Rs 6-8-0
"	2 0 0	From the Jasdik amount	Rs 2 0 0
"	1 0 0	(In kind) equivalent of ½ a seer of rice per day (to be paid in cash at the rate of 4 seers per rupee)	Re 1 0 0
			Rs 9-8-0
		Total Rs	12-0-0

Present Scale.

Rs	6-8-0	From the income of the Inam lands	Rs 6-8-0
"	2 0 0	From the Jasdik amount	Rs 2 0 0
"	1 0 0	(In kind) equivalent of ½ a seer of rice per day	Re 1 0 0
			Rs 9-8-0
		Total Rs	4-8-0

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

1904—1905

From

L. VASANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To *The Deputy Commissioner*
Kadur Dt

Dated *March* *April* 1905

Despatched

Received

General No 3670
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

His Letter no 497 dated
15th March 1905 ~~to the~~
appointment of ~~a archak~~
to the Sri Kodandarama-
-swamy temple at ~
Hirenagalur - Chikmagalur
Taluk

recommending the
one Balur Sampathkumara
Bhatta as Archak

Referring to the above
has the honor to ~~state~~
observe *that, before ~~passing final~~*
~~orders in the matter it~~
appointing a stranger
attention to the said post
it is desirable *~~Deputy Comm Govt~~*
~~with the~~ that one notice
should be given by the
Deputy Comm to the

M,G.O.P.B.—1,000—5-10-04.

~~Basavanadas Archaka~~
~~Venkata Krishna Bhatta~~
~~& his son Srinivasa Bhatta~~

son of the present Basavanadas inintimating to him that if ~~the~~ he ~~latter~~ fails to attend to the puja work in person in the Hiremagalur temple, making proper arrangements for his archaki duties at Sakrepatna within a specified time he would forfeit ~~has~~ the hak of his family and that the appointment would be given to an unconnected man. A similar notice ~~shd~~ also be given to the old man who is the present Basavanadas.

2. The Deputy Commissioner's attention is drawn to Sampath Kumara Bhattas deposition before the Amildar of Belur to the effect that he not only wants higher pay but also a house to live in

2. ~~In this~~ As requested by the Dy Commr's recommendation to appoint Sampat Kumara Bhatta as the Archak of the Hiremagalur temple

3 The enclosures are returned for reference and re-submission

By order

Secretary

temple, ~~his attention~~
~~is drawn~~ it must
be observed that the
said Lumpatkumara
Bhatta is one of the
Archaks of the Balaji
temple and that he
in his deposition before
the Assistant of the
Balaji Taluk ~~Supt~~
has deposed that he
not only wants higher
pay but also a house
to live in and that
~~either he is prepared~~
he would
~~to~~ do
the puja work at Siri
either in person or by proxy.
mandir — This
arrangement may
probably not work

Satisfactorily ~~[illegible]~~

The ends are returned for reference and resubmission.

By order

28/3/05

Secretary

Mugrai Dept

DFC

ks

27/3/05

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

Dated 15th April
Despatched ~~March~~ 1905
Received

General No. 3670
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 16 & 17

His Letter No. 4`7 dated [illegible], recommending the appointment of one Bellur Sampat-kumara Bhatta as Archak of the Sri [illegible]swami temple at Hiremagalur, Chikmagalur Taluk.

Referring to the above has the honor to observe that, before appointing a stranger altogether to the said post it is desirable that a notice should be given by the Deputy Commissioner to the son of the present Barawardi intimating to him that if he fails to attend to the puja work in person in the Hiremagalur temple, making proper arrangements for his Archak's

M.G.C.P.B.—1,000—5-10-04.

at Sakropatna within a specified time, he would forfeit the hak of his family and that the appointment would be given to an unconnected man. A similar notice should also be given to the old man who is the present Dorawardder.

2. As regards the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation [illegible] the Archak of the Hiremagalur temple, it must be observed that the said Sampatkumara Bhatt is one of the Archaks of the Melur temple and that he [illegible] the Tahsildar of the Belur Taluk has reported that he not only wants higher pay but also a house to live in and that he would go to the Puja well at Hiromagalur either in person or by proxy. This arrangement may probably not work satisfactorily.

3. The enclosures are returned for reference and re-submission.

By Order,

fair draft [illegible] 30/8/25

Secretary